



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,045	06/30/2006	Alessandro Moretta	INN-133	6062
23557	7590	06/24/2009	EXAMINER	
SALIWANCHIK LLOYD & SALIWANCHIK A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION PO Box 142950 GAINESVILLE, FL 32614			DIBRINO, MARIANNE NMN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1644	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/24/2009	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/563,045	MORETTA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	DiBrino Marianne	1644	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/30/05, 6/30/06, 4/24/09.  
 2a) This action is **FINAL**.                    2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 70-80 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) 72-76 and 78 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 70, 71, 77, 79 and 80 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 12/30/05 & 6/30/06 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/25/06, 5/30/07.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  
 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's amendments filed 12/30/05, 6/30/06 and 4/24/09 are acknowledged and have been entered.
2. Applicant's election without traverse of the Invention of Group I and the species of isolated antibody DF200 a detectable moiety, IL-2 as the additional component, and KIR receptors that bind to KIR2DL1 and KIR2DL2/3 and neutralizes KIR mediated inhibition of NK cell cytotoxicity, in Applicant's amendment filed 4/24/09 is acknowledged.

Claims 70, 71, 77, 79 and 80 read upon the elected species.

Accordingly, claims 72-76 and 78 (non-elected species of Group I) are withdrawn from further consideration by the Examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claims 70, 71, 77, 79 and 80 are presently being examined.

3. The disclosure is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code, for example on page 21 at line 14 and on page 54 at line 30. See MPEP § 608.01.
4. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which Applicant may become aware in the specification.
5. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the Examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.
6. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it does not describe the *claimed* invention. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
7. The use of the trademarks RITUXAN, HERCEPTIN, XOLAIR, BIACORE and BIALOGUE have been noted in this application. They should be capitalized wherever they appear and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

8. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Applicant is required to disclose the current ATCC address on page 23 at lines 3-4, i.e., note the current ATCC depository address: American Type Culture Collection, 10801 University Boulevard, Manassas, VA 20110-2209.

Appropriate correction is required.

9. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

10. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

11. Claims 70, 71, 77, 79 and 80 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

It is apparent that the monoclonal antibody DF200 is required to practice the claimed invention. As a required element, it must be known and readily available to the public or obtainable by a repeatable method set forth in the specification. If it is not so obtainable or available, the enablement requirements of 35 USC 112, first paragraph, may be satisfied by a deposit of the pertinent cell line which produces this antibody. See 37 CFR 1.801-1.809.

If the deposit was made under the provisions of the Budapest Treaty, filing of an affidavit or declaration by applicants, assignees or a statement by an attorney of record over his or her signature and registration number stating that the deposit has been made under the provisions of the Budapest Treaty and that all restrictions upon public access to the deposit will be irrevocably removed upon the grant of a patent on this application is required.

In addition to the conditions under the Budapest Treaty, applicant is required to satisfy that all restrictions imposed by the depositor on the availability to the public of the deposited material will be irrevocably removed upon the granting of a patent in U.S. patent applications.

If the deposit has not been made under the Budapest Treaty, then in order to certify that the deposit meets the criteria set forth in 37 C.F.R. 1.801-1.809, an affidavit or declaration by applicants or someone associated with the patent owner who is in a position to make such assurances, or a statement by an attorney of record over his or her signature, stating that the deposit has been made at an acceptable depository and that the following criteria have been met:

- (A) during the pendency of this application, access to the invention will be afforded to the Commissioner upon request;
- (B) all restrictions upon availability to the public will be irrevocably removed upon granting of the patent;
- (C) the deposit will be maintained in a public depository for a period of 30 years after the date of deposit or 5 years after the last request for a sample or for the enforceable life of the patent whichever is longer;
- (D) a viability statement in accordance with the provisions of 37 C.F.R. 1.807;
- (E) the deposit will be replaced should it become necessary due to inviability, contamination, or loss of capability to function in the manner described in the specification.

As an additional means for completing the record, Applicant may submit a copy of the contract with the depository for deposit and maintenance of each deposit.

Although the instant specification discloses at [0027] "The hybridoma producing antibody DF200 has been deposited at the CNCM culture collection, as Identification no. "DF200", registration no. CNCM I-3224, registered 10 Jun. 2004, Collection Nationale de Cultures de Microorganismes, Institut Pasteur, 25, Rue du Docteur Roux, F-75724 Paris Cedex 15, France, the requisite statements enunciated *supra* have not been provided.

12. For the purpose of prior art rejections, the filing date of the instant claim 80 is deemed to be the filing date of the 60/545,471 provisional parent application, *i.e.*, 2/19/04, as the provisional parent application 60/483,894 does not support the claimed limitations of the instant application. 60/483,894 does not provide support for the composition further comprising IL-2.

13. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

14. Claim 80 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 2005/0037002 A1 (has priority to 7/24/03).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). This rejection might also be overcome by showing that the reference is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as prior art in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). See MPEP § 706.02(l)(1) and § 706.02(l)(2).

US 2005/0037002 A1 discloses making antibodies that block the KIR2DL receptors of NK cells by: (1) immunizing a non-human mammal, including a mouse, rat, bovine, porcine, horse, rabbit, goat, sheep or XENOMOUSE, with an immunogen comprising a KIR2DL polypeptide, including one on the surface of an NK cell, (2) preparing monoclonal antibodies from the said immunized animal, wherein said monoclonal antibodies bind said KIR2DL polypeptide, (3) selecting monoclonal antibodies from step (2) that cross react with at least two different serotypes of KIR2DL polypeptides, and (4) selecting monoclonal antibodies of (3) that inhibit KIR2DL-mediated inhibition of NK cells, such as KIR2DL-mediated inhibition of NK cytotoxicity, and additionally selecting and isolating an antibody that binds to a human (*i.e.*, a primate) NK cell and to KIR2DL1 and KIR2DL2/3. US 2005/0037002 A1 discloses that the antibodies preferably bind a common determinant of KIR2DL human receptors such as KIR2DL1 and KIR2DL2/3, and that the monoclonal antibody is DF200, binds to the same epitope as DF200 or competes for binding with DF200. US 2005/0037002 A1 also discloses that the antibody used for therapy may have a human or non-human primate IgG1 or IgG3 Fc portion. US 2005/0037002 A1 discloses administering an injection of a composition comprising two compounds such as the inhibitory antibody (DF200) and IL-2 in a pharmaceutically acceptable excipient (see entire reference, especially [0022], [0053]-[0055], [0062], [0073][0075], [0079]-[0082], [0087]-[0088], [0096], [0100], [0104], [0125]-[0129], Examples).

15. No claim is allowed.

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Marianne DiBrino whose telephone number is 571-272-0842. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Ram Shukla, can be reached on 571-272-0735. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marianne DiBrino, Ph.D.  
Patent Examiner  
Group 1640  
Technology Center 1600  
June 18, 2009

/G.R. Ewoldt/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1644